

The Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

Dear The Federal Communications Commission,

I bought a trac-fone, pay-as-you-go phone for myself, and my teenage daughter for emergency use only. (I want my daughter to have a phone with her when she is driving alone, and I wanted a phone with me when I drive my 1987 Chevy home after working until 11pm as a nurse) I pay taxes on my land line, and on my college age son's regular cell phone. I think it is unfair to also have to fees on the tracfones I own for emergency use. Since I already pay the fee on my land phone and son's phone, I feel that that should be sufficient. I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am concerned that this proposal could make my current service unaffordable. I am on a very limited budget, and count pennies so this additional fee would make my tracfones cost prohibitive.

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair, especially since we use our tracfones for emergency use only.

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Joyce Rohrbach
4902 Club Drive
Reading, Pennsylvania 19606-2471